

This article was downloaded by: [Tomsk State University of Control Systems and Radio]

On: 21 February 2013, At: 11:19

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954

Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gmcl16>

Structure of Cubic (I_1) Mesophases Belonging to Space Group $pm3n$

Katharine K Fox ^a

^a Unilever Research, Port Sunlight Laboratory,
Quarry Road East, Bebington, Merseyside, L63 3JW
Version of record first published: 21 Mar 2007.

To cite this article: Katharine K Fox (1983): Structure of Cubic (I_1) Mesophases Belonging to Space Group $pm3n$, *Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals*, 92:4-5, 135-140

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01406568308084530>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages

whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

STRUCTURE OF CUBIC (I_1) MESOPHASES BELONGING TO
SPACE GROUP $Pm\bar{3}n$

KATHARINE K FOX

Unilever Research, Port Sunlight Laboratory,
Quarry Road East, Bebington, Merseyside. L63 3JW.

(Received for Publication June 30, 1983)

ABSTRACT: It is shown that the structure of the cubic (I_1) mesophase of the $C_{12}TACl$ /water system can be described as 48 spherical micelles per unit cell, with micellar centres at the coordinates of equivalent positions for the general case of space group $Pm\bar{3}n$. This reconciles the X-ray and NMR data for this system. This model can also be applied to the I_1 mesophase of the sodium caprylate/p-xylene/water system.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and X-ray diffraction data indicate contradictory structures for some lyotropic liquid crystals in the cubic (I_1) phase ¹, which lies between micellar solution (L_1) and the hexagonal (H_1) liquid crystalline phase for certain surfactant/water systems. The I_1 systems involved are those where I_1 has been shown by X-ray diffraction studies to belong to space group $Pm\bar{3}n$ ², which has been postulated ³ to consist of rod-like cages which enclose two spherical micelles per unit cell. The NMR self-diffusion measurements ^{4,5} for one of these systems, dodecyltrimethylammonium chloride/water ($C_{12}TACl$) ⁶, are not compatible with a continuous rod-like structure, but exhibit the more restricted self-diffusion expected for discrete globular micelles. The purpose of this letter is to show that the NMR and X-ray data can be reconciled, if the X-ray results are assigned to the general case for space group $Pm\bar{3}n$, rather than to a combination of two of the specific cases.

The X-ray data for the I_1 phase of the $C_{12}TACl/H_2O$ system have been reported by Balmbra et al.⁶. For a sample with 43% $C_{12}TACl$, the data correspond to a lattice parameter $a_0 = 8.6$ nm for a primitive cubic lattice. Balmbra et al.⁶ suggest that the general case of either space group $P\bar{4}3n$ or $Pm\bar{3}n$ will fit the data. For both of these space groups reflections from the planes $(hkl) = (100)$ are forbidden, with the first observed reflection being the $a_0/\sqrt{2}$ reflection from the (110) planes². Thus the length of the unit cell for either space group is 122\AA . This unit cell contains either 48 globular micelles for space group $Pm\bar{3}n$, or 24 globular micelles per unit cell for space group $P\bar{4}3n$, with each micelle centred at one of the coordinates of equivalent positions for the general case. From measured specific volumes determined by Luzzati's group⁷, the radius

TABLE 1 Micellar radii and surface areas per molecule calculated assuming different space groups.

Space Group	Number of spheres in unit cell	ϕ_a	a_0 \AA	r_a \AA	S \AA^2	Sample	Ref
$Pm\bar{3}n$	48	⁷ .4507	122	16.0	89.5	(43%/57% $(C_{12}TACl/H_2O)$	6
$P\bar{4}3n$	24	⁷ .4507	122	20.1	71.7	(H ₂ O)	6
$Pm\bar{3}n$	48	.4845	75	10.0	87.4	(41%/5%/54% $(NaCOO(CH_2)_6CH_3/$	3
$P\bar{4}3n$	24	.4845	75	12.7	69.4	(p-xylene/H ₂ O)	3

of each micelle and surface area per monomer at the surfactant/water interface can be determined. These data are shown in the table.

For the 43% C_{12} TACl/57% H_2O sample studied by Balmbra et al⁶ the spherical radius calculated for space group $Pm\bar{3}n$ is reasonable, while that calculated for space group $P\bar{4}3n$ is large, based on a length of 18.2\AA for $CH_3(CH_2)_{11}N(CH_3)^+$ with an all-trans hydrocarbon chain⁸. The surface areas in both cases are large enough to satisfy the criterion³ that they exceed those for the hexagonal phase. Theoretical considerations⁹ indicate that the surface area per molecule at the hydrocarbon/headgroup interface, S_h , should be $> 70\text{\AA}^2$ for a C_{12} chain. This criterion is satisfied for $Pm\bar{3}n$, ($S_h = 72.6\text{\AA}^2$), but not for $P\bar{4}3n$, ($S_h = 57.6\text{\AA}^2$). Thus $Pm\bar{3}n$ is the more likely space group for this system.

The table also shows calculated radii and surface areas per head group for the system 41% sodium caprylate, 5% p-xylene, 54% water studied by Tardieu and Luzzati³. The calculations assume that all of the p-xylene is associated with the sodium caprylate micelles, and that none of it is dissolved in the water. The calculated radii of 12.7\AA for space group $P\bar{4}3n$ and 10.0\AA for space group $Pm\bar{3}n$ compare with 10.9\AA for $CH_3(CH_2)_6COO^-$ with an all trans hydrocarbon chain⁸. Again the surface area per head group is ample for either space group, but the more reasonable radius makes $Pm\bar{3}n$ seem more likely.

To show that the general case of space group $Pm\bar{3}n$ is a reasonable model for the I_1 phase of $C_{12}TACl/H_2O$, it remains to be shown that 48 spherical micelles of radius 16\AA can be inserted into a unit cell of 122\AA length, with their centres at the coordinates of equivalent positions given in ref 2. Following the notation of ref 2, the centre of the unit cell will be taken as the origin of the coordinate system, and three sets of orthogonal axes of length $a_0/2$ in both the positive and negative directions will define the unit cell. The dimensions are reduced by setting $a_0 = 1$, and the micellar radius similarly reduced to $16\text{\AA}/122\text{\AA} = 0.13$.

Although the coordinates are completely general, it is possible to make statements about the system which place limits upon their values. For convenience, x , y , and z will be assumed to be positive, with $x < y < z$. Since those micelles closest to the origin (the first 24 in the table, all of which have one coordinate of $|x|$, one of $|y|$ and one of $|z|$) cannot contain the origin,

$$[x^2 + y^2 + z^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0.13 \quad (1)$$

where 0.13 is the reduced micellar radius. Also, the closest distance between micellar centres must be greater than twice the radius of the micelle. Within the first octant of the unit cell the distance between i.e.

(x, y, z) and (z, x, y) is

$$[(x-z)^2 + (y-x)^2 + (z-y)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0.26 \quad (2)$$

Equation 2 also describes the shortest distance between micellar centres for coordinates such as $(\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}+z, \frac{1}{2}+y)$ and $(\frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}+z)$. Within the first quadrant, the shortest separation between micelles in the 'inner' and 'outer' parts is that between $(\frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}-z, \frac{1}{2}-y)$ and (z, x, y) , which gives equation 3.

$$[(\frac{1}{2}-x-z)^2 + (\frac{1}{2}-z-x)^2 + (\frac{1}{2}-2y)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0.26 \quad (3)$$

Another short separation is that between micelles in adjacent quadrants, i.e. between (x, y, z) and (\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z) . This gives

$$[(2x)^2 + (2y)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0.26 \quad (4)$$

All other separations between coordinates of equivalent positions can be shown to be greater than these.

The limiting equations (1) to (4) will now be used to define the range of x , y , and z . From (2), and the expectation from symmetry considerations that $|x-y| \sim |y-z| \sim \frac{1}{2}|z-x|$, by setting $|x-y| = |y-z| = \frac{1}{2}|z-x|$ one obtains

$$|x-y| > .107 \quad (2a)$$

Equation (4) states that the minimum x and y values compatible with (2a), $x = 0.01$, $y = 0.12$, are too small, but will allow slightly greater x and y values (i.e. $x = 0.01$, $y = 0.13$ or $x = 0.02$, $y = 0.13$). Equation 3 sets a maximum value for x , y , and z . The maximum value of $|x-y|$ compatible with (3) is 0.16, with $x = 0.01$, $y = 0.17$, $z = 0.33$. For $|x-y| = 0.11$, values of (x, y, z) ranging from $(.01, .13, .24)$ to $(0.06, 0.17, 0.28)$ will satisfy equations 1 to 4. An example in the middle of the range would be $|x-y| = 0.14$, $x = 0.03$, $y = 0.17$, $z = 0.31$. Reasonable uncertainties for these values would be ± 0.02 , though obviously z could be allowed to decrease to 0.24 if the x and y values were appropriate. Transforming these coordinates out of reduced space gives $x = 3.7 \pm 2.5\text{\AA}$, $y = 20.7 \pm 2.5\text{\AA}$, $z = 37.8 \pm 2.5\text{\AA}$. Thus, using this fairly narrow range of x , y , and z values for the coordinates of

equivalent positions, the $C_{12}TACl/H_2O$ system at 43% by weight surfactant can be described as containing 48 spherical micelles of 16\AA radius in a unit cell of $a_0 = 122\text{\AA}$, where the micelles are centred at the coordinates of equivalent positions for the general case of space group $Pm3n$.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Drs C D Adam, B Duffin, N W Jepps, and G J T Tiddy for helpful discussions.

References

1. K.Fontell, Mol.Cryst.Liq.Cryst., **63**, 59 (1981).
2. N.F.M.Henry and K.Lonsdale, Eds., International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography. (Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1952) No 223.
3. A.Tardieu and V.Luzzati, Biochim.Biophys.Acta., **219**, 11 (1970).
4. T.Bull and B.Lindman, Mol.Cryst.Liq Cryst., **28**, 155 (1974).
5. P.O.Eriksson, A.Khan and G.Lindblom, J.Phys.Chem., **86**, 387 (1982).
6. R.R.Balmbra, J.S.Clunie and J.F.Goodman, Nature, **222**, 1159 (1969).
7. F.Reiss-Husson and V.Luzzati, J.Phys.Chem., **68**, (12), 3504 (1964).
8. R.C.Weast, Ed., Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, (The Chemical Rubber Co, Cleveland, Ohio, 1964) p F-93.
9. D.J.Mitchell, G.J.T.Tiddy, L.Waring, T.Bostock, and M.P.McDonald, J.Chem.Soc.Faraday Trans. 1, 1983 (in press).